

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/971,880	10/03/2001	Dennis Frank Haynes	RSW920010191US1	4572	
7:	590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
IMB CORPORATION			ZURITA, JAMES H		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT. IQOA/BLDG. 040-3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1701 NORTH STREET			3625		
ENDICOTT,, NY 13760			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annication No.	Anntinantin				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/971,880	HAYNES ET AL.				
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	T. 444 110 DATE 64	James H Zurita	3625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres:	S			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commur DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 C	October 2001					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
3)	,—		prosecution as to the me	rits is			
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dienneit	ion of Claims						
·							
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement					
	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	/ • •				
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece	eation No	je			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) Infon	er No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152))			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1, drawn to method for purging abandoned shopping cars from an electronic commerce web site, classified in class 715, subclass 517.
- II. Claims 2-4, drawn to method for purging abandoned shopping cars from an electronic commerce web site, classified in class 715, subclass 517.
- III. Claim 5, drawn to method for managing an electronic commerce web site classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- IV. Claim 6, drawn to method for purging abandoned shopping cars from an electronic commerce web site, classified in class 715, subclass 517.
- V. Claim 7, drawn to method for purging abandoned shopping cars from an electronic commerce web site, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
- VI. Claim 8, drawn to method for purging abandoned shopping cars from an electronic commerce web site, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention II requires comparing the number of shopping carts that belong to guest shoppers with a threshold for guest shoppers.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention I is not required for Invention II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention III requires when the shopper is a guest, creating a guest session object and registering the guest session object with the shopper garbage object; and when the shopper is an established shopper, creating an established shopper session object and registering the established shopper session object with the shopper garbage object.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention I is not required for Invention III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention IV requires when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, determining a last transaction time of a guest; comparing the last transaction time to an expiration time; when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention I is not required for Invention IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention V requires when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, polling a guest session object of a guest to determine a last transaction time; comparing the last transaction time with an expiration time; and when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention I is not required for Invention V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention VI requires

- determining whether a shopper accessing the electronic commerce web site is a guest;
- Creating a session object for the shopper accessing the electronic commerce web site;

Art Unit: 3625

 when the shopper is a guest, creating a guest session object and registering the guest session object with the shopper garbage object and the threshold object;

Page 5

- polling the threshold object by the shopper garbage object to determine a
 number of shopping carts that belong to guests;
- comparing the number of shopping carts that belong to guests with a guest threshold;
- when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, polling the guest session object for a last transaction time;
- comparing the last transaction time with an expiration time; and
- when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention I is not required for Invention VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention III requires

 when the shopper is a guest, creating a guest session object and registering the guest session object with the shopper garbage object; and when the shopper is an established shopper, creating an established shopper session object and registering the established shopper session object with the shopper garbage object.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention II is not required for Invention III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention IV requires

- comparing the last transaction time to an expiration time;
- when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention II is not required for Invention IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention V requires

Application/Control Number: 09/971,880 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

 when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, polling a guest session object of a guest to determine a last transaction time;

- comparing the last transaction time with an expiration time; and
- when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention II is not required for Invention V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention VI requires

- when the shopper is a guest, creating a guest session object and registering the guest session object with the shopper garbage object and the threshold object;
- polling the threshold object by the shopper garbage object to determine a number of shopping carts that belong to guests;
- when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, polling the guest session object for a last transaction time;
- comparing the last transaction time with an expiration time; and
- when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Art Unit: 3625

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention II is not required for Invention VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention IV requires

- determining a number of shopping carts that belong to guests;
- comparing the number of shopping carts that belong to guests with a guest threshold;
- when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, determining a last transaction time of a guest;
- comparing the last transaction time to an expiration time;
- when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention III is not required for Invention IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention V requires

Application/Control Number: 09/971,880 Page 9

Art Unit: 3625

 polling a threshold object by a shopper garbage object to determine a number of shopping carts that belong to guests in an electronic commerce web site;

- comparing the number of shopping carts that belong to guests with a guest threshold;
- when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, polling a guest session object of a guest to determine a last transaction time;
- comparing the last transaction time with an expiration time; and
- when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention III is not required for Invention V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions III and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention VI requires

- creating a threshold object and registering the threshold object with the shopper garbage object;
- polling the threshold object by the shopper garbage object to determine a number of shopping carts that belong to guests;

Art Unit: 3625

 comparing the number of shopping carts that belong to guests with a guest threshold;

- when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, polling the guest session object for a last transaction time;
- comparing the last transaction time with an expiration time; and
- when the last transaction time is earlier than the expiration time, purging a shopping cart that belongs to the guest.

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention III is not required for Invention VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention V requires

- polling a threshold object by a shopper garbage object to determine a number of shopping carts that belong to guests in an electronic commerce web site;
- when the number of shopping carts that belong to guests exceeds the guest threshold, polling a guest session object of a guest to determine a last transaction time;

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention IV is not required for Invention V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3625

Inventions IV and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention VI requires

- creating a shopper garbage object;
- creating a threshold object and registering the threshold object with the shopper garbage object;
- determining whether a shopper accessing the electronic commerce web site is a guest;
- creating a session object for the shopper accessing the electronic commerce web site;
- when the shopper is a guest, creating a guest session object and registering the guest session object with the shopper garbage object and the threshold object;

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention IV is not required for Invention VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions V and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. MPEP § 806.05(d).

In the Instant case, Invention VI requires

creating a shopper garbage object;

Art Unit: 3625

- creating a threshold object and registering the threshold object with the shopper garbage object;
- determining whether a shopper accessing the electronic commerce web site is a guest;
- creating a session object for the shopper accessing the electronic commerce
 web site;
- when the shopper is a guest, creating a guest session object and registering the guest session object with the shopper garbage object and the threshold object;
- polling the threshold object by the shopper garbage object to determine a number of shopping carts that belong to guests;

Because these inventions are distinct for these reasons and the search required for Invention V is not required for Invention VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant chooses *Invention II* the applicant must select one of the following species:

II.a 2.3 II.b 2.4

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

A reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, Art Unit: 3625

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H Zurita whose telephone number is 703-605-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on 8a-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/971,880 Page 14

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Zurita
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3625
20 February 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600